

A NOTE ON THE KÜNNETH THEOREM FOR NONNUCLEAR C^* -ALGEBRAS

OTGONBAYAR UUYE

ABSTRACT. In this mostly expository note, we revisit the Künneth theorem in K -theory of nonnuclear C^* -algebras. We show that, using examples considered by Skandalis, there are algebras satisfying the Künneth theorem for the minimal tensor product but not for the maximal tensor product and vice versa.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a C^* -algebra. Suppose that A is *nuclear*, that is, for any C^* -algebra B , the algebraic tensor product $A \odot B$ admits a unique C^* -norm. Let $A \otimes B$ denote the completion. Let K_* denote the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded topological K -theory.

The *Künneth theorem*, first studied by Atiyah in the abelian case [Ati62] and Schochet in the general (nuclear) case [Sch82], concerns the question of to what extent the natural $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded product map

$$\alpha: K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \longrightarrow K_*(A \otimes B) \quad (1.1)$$

is an *isomorphism*. The following is the original statement of Schochet. See also [Bla98, CEOO04].

Theorem 1.1 ([Sch82]). *Let A and B be C^* -algebras with A in the smallest subcategory of the category of separable nuclear C^* -algebras which contains the separable Type I algebras and is closed under the operations of taking ideals, quotients, extensions, inductive limits, stable isomorphism, and crossed products by $\mathbb{Z}$ and by $\mathbb{R}$. Then there is a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Künneth exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_*(A \otimes B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Remark 1.2. (1) It was shown in [RS87] that the Künneth exact sequence (1.2) always splits.

(2) It is an open problem whether *all* separable *nuclear* C^* -algebras satisfy the Künneth exact sequence (1.2).

For general C^* -algebras A and B , the algebraic tensor product $A \odot B$ can be completed to a C^* -algebra in various ways. In this note, we consider the

Date: May 9, 2012.

Key words and phrases. K -theory, Künneth theorem.

maximal tensor product $A \otimes_{\max} B$ and the *minimal* tensor product $A \otimes_{\min} B$ (see [Tak02, BO08]). We let $\pi = \pi_{A,B}$ denote the natural map

$$\pi: A \otimes_{\max} B \longrightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B. \quad (1.3)$$

In [Ska88], Skandalis constructed examples of algebras A and B such that the map $\pi_{A,B}$ is *not* isomorphic on K -theory (see Example 5.3). Hence, for the Künneth theorem for general C^* -algebras, we need to distinguish the tensor products $\otimes_{\max}$ and $\otimes_{\min}$.

We consider the Künneth theorem for $\otimes_{\min}$ in Section 3 and $\otimes_{\max}$ in Section 4. Counterexamples are discussed in Section 5. We note that these counterexamples are not new and were considered in [Ska88, Ska91, CEOO04, HG04].

For the convenience of the reader, we start by recalling the mapping cone construction and the Puppe exact sequence in Section 2. We remark that we do not assume that our C^* -algebras are separable, since it is an unnatural and unnecessary restriction from our point of view. However, we do restrict, for simplicity, to separable algebras when we deal with KK or E -theory.

In Appendix A, we sketch Skandalis' examples.

Acknowledgments. The author is supported by an EPSRC fellowship. The author wishes to thank Takeshi Katsura for interesting discussions on the topic.

2. MAPPING CONES

We recall the Puppe exact sequence in K -theory. All the material in this section are well-known. See [Ros82, Sch84, Bla98, CMR07].

Let

$$C_0[0, 1] := \{f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(1) = 0\} \quad (2.1)$$

and let

$$\text{ev}_0: C_0[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto f(0) \quad (2.2)$$

denote the evaluation map at $0 \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. The *mapping cone* C_ϕ of ϕ is the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\phi & \longrightarrow & C_0[0, 1] \otimes B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_0 \otimes \text{id}_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.2 (Puppe Exact Sequence). *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then there is a natural 6-term exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(C_\phi) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\phi_*} & K_0(B) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(B) & \xleftarrow{\phi_*} & K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C_\phi) \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. See [Ros82, Theorem 3.8 & 4.1] or [CMR07, Theorem 2.38]. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi_*: K_*(A) \cong K_*(B)$ if and only if $K_*(C_\phi) = 0$.* $\square$

The following properties of the mapping cone are folklores and follow immediately from Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism and let D be a C^* -algebra. Then we have natural isomorphisms*

$$C_\phi \otimes_{\max} D \cong C_{\phi \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_D}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$C_\phi \otimes_{\min} D \cong C_{\phi \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_D}. \quad (2.6)$$

$\square$

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a locally compact topological group and let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphisms of G - C^* -algebras. Then C_ϕ is a G - C^* -algebra and*

$$C_\phi \rtimes G \cong C_{\phi \rtimes G}. \quad (2.7)$$

$\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

Suppose that the lower row is exact. Then the right-hand square is a pullback diagram if and only if the upper row is exact.

Proof. See [Ped99, Proposition 3.1]. $\square$

3. THE MINIMAL TENSOR PRODUCT

The following is the Künneth theorem for the minimal tensor product. The equivalence (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) is shown in [CEO04]. The condition (1) is an analogue of the condition (iii) of [Ska88, Proposition 5.3].

Theorem 3.1 (Künneth theorem for $\otimes_{\min}$). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the following conditions on A are equivalent.*

- (1) *For any C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B) = 0$ then $K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B) = 0$.*
- (2) *For any C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B)$ is free then the product map*

$$\alpha_{\min}: K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3) *For any C^* -algebra B , there is a (natural) short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\min}} K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The implications (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) are clear. The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is due to Schochet and follows from the existence of a geometric resolution (cf. Proof of [Sch82, Theorem 4.1] or [CEOO04, Theorem 3.3]).

For the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), let B be a C^* -algebra with $K_*(B)$ free. Let $\Sigma^n := C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 0$. In the following, we abbreviate $\otimes_{\min}$ by $\otimes$.

Since $K_*(B)$ is free, there is an abelian C^* -algebra of the form $D = \oplus_{\Lambda_1} \Sigma^2 \oplus \oplus_{\Lambda_2} \Sigma^3$ and a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Sigma^2 \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ inducing isomorphism in K -theory, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a suitable Hilbert space. Let C_φ denote the mapping cone of φ . Then $K_*(C_\varphi) = 0$ by Corollary 2.3. Since $A \otimes C_\varphi \cong C_{\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi}$, we see that $K_*(C_{\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi}) = K_*(A \otimes C_\varphi) = 0$ by (1), hence $\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi$ induces an isomorphism in K -theory, again by Corollary 2.3. The top map in the following commutative diagram is clearly an isomorphism, thus it follows that $\alpha_{\min}$ is an isomorphism for $(A, \Sigma^2 \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*(A) \otimes K_*(D) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_*(A \otimes D) \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{K_*(A)} \otimes \varphi_* & & \downarrow (\text{id}_A \otimes \varphi)_* \\ K_*(A) \otimes K_*(\Sigma^2 \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\min}} & K_*(A \otimes \Sigma^2 \otimes B \otimes \mathcal{K}) \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

Now Bott periodicity completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2 (Separable algebras). Let A be a C^* -algebra. The proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that the following conditions are equivalent¹. See also [CEOO04, Theorem 3.3].

- (1') For any *separable* C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B) = 0$ then $K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B) = 0$.
- (2') For any *separable* C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B)$ is free then the product map

$$\alpha_{\min}: K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3') For any *separable* C^* -algebra B , there is a (natural) short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\min}} K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that the a priori weaker condition (3') is equivalent to (3). Indeed, write B as the inductive limit of its separable C^* -subalgebras under inclusions: $B \cong \lim_{B' \subseteq B} B'$, B' separable. Then

$$A \otimes_{\min} B \cong \lim_{B' \subseteq B} A \otimes_{\min} B' \quad (3.2)$$

and the implication (3') $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows from the continuity of K -theory and the fact that tensor products of abelian groups commute with direct limits. It follows that all six conditions are equivalent, hence we may restrict to B separable in Theorem 3.1.

¹If A is also separable, the proof can be shortened using KK -theory.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ denote the class of C^* -algebras A satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2.

Now we survey some results about $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ and list some examples.

Lemma 3.4 ([Sch82, Section 2], [Bla98, 23.4] or [CEOO04, Lemma 4.4]). *The class $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ enjoys the following properties.*

- (1) *If $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$ and B is Morita dominated by A , then $B \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ is stable under Morita equivalence.*
- (2) *In a semi-split short exact sequence of C^* -algebras, if two of the algebras are in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$, then so is the third.*
- (3) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$, then $A \otimes_{\min} B \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$.*
- (4) *If $A = \lim A_i$, such that all structure maps are injective and all $A_i \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$, then $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$.*

□

The following result of Chabert-Echterhoff-Oyono-Oyono generalises the $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ case considered by Schochet.

Theorem 3.5 ([CEOO04, Corollary 0.2]). *Let G be a second countable locally compact topological group satisfying the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients (cf. [BCH94, Conjecture 9.6]). Let A be a separable G -algebra. If $A \rtimes K \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$ for all compact subgroups $K \subseteq G$, then $A \rtimes_{\text{red}} G \in \mathcal{N}_{\min}$.*

The following is essentially a repackaging of their proof.

Proof. By [MN06, Theorem 9.3], the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients can be restated as follows:

For any G - C^* -algebra A , if $K_*(A \rtimes K) = 0$ for all compact subgroups $K \subseteq G$, then $K_*(A \rtimes_{\text{red}} G) = 0$.

Now the proof is easily completed by appealing to Theorem 3.1. □

Example 3.6. (1) Type I algebras are in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ (Schochet [Sch82, Theorem 2.13]).

- (2) Any separable C^* -algebra in the *bootstrap category* of C^* -algebras KK -equivalent to an abelian C^* -algebra is in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. (Rosenberg-Shochet [RS87]). The groupoid C^* -algebra of an amenable groupoid (Tu [Tu99, Proposition 10.7]) and the full and reduced group C^* -algebras $C^*(G)$ and $C_\lambda^*(G)$ of an almost-connected group (Chabert-Echterhoff-Oyono-Oyono [CEOO04, Proposition 5.1]) are in the bootstrap category, hence in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$.

- (3) Let G be a separable locally compact group such that the component group G/G_0 satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients. Then the reduced group algebra $C_\lambda^*(G)$ is in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. (Chabert-Echterhoff-Oyono-Oyono [CEOO04, Corollary 0.3]).

However, as we see below, there are non-nuclear (in fact non-exact, see Remark 3.10) C^* -algebras that are not in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$.

Definition 3.7. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -exact if the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes_{\min} B)$ is half-exact.

Clearly, exact C^* -algebras are K -exact.

The following remark is due to Skandalis (c.f. [CEOO04, Remark 4.3]).

Remark 3.8. Associated to an extension

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

there is a *double-cone*² algebra C such that the sequence

$$K_*(A \otimes_{\min} I) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\min} B) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\min} D) \quad (3.4)$$

is exact in the middle if and only if $K_*(A \otimes_{\min} C) = 0$ (see [HLS02, p. 335-336]).

It follows that all C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ are K -exact.

Moreover, the construction of a double-cone is functorial and commutes with inductive limits of extensions. Thus A is K -exact if the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes_{\min} B)$ is half-exact on extensions of separable C^* -algebras.

Example 3.9. (1) Let Γ be an infinite countable discrete group with Khazdan property (T), Kirchberg property (F) and Akemann-Ostrand property (AO) (cf. [AD09]). Then the full group C^* -algebra $C^*(\Gamma)$ is not K -exact, hence not in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. (Skandalis [Ska91]).
 (2) The product $\prod_{n \geq 1} M_n$ is not K -exact, hence not in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. (Ozawa [Oza03, Theorem A.1]).

Remark 3.10. We note that if a separable C^* -algebra A is not K -exact, then it cannot be KK -equivalent to an exact C^* -algebra.

Definition 3.11. We say that a C^* -algebra A is K -continuous if the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes_{\min} B)$ is continuous i.e. commutes with inductive limits.

Clearly, C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$ are K -continuous. The following is less trivial.

Theorem 3.12. *All K -continuous algebras are K -exact.*

Proof. Let A be a K -continuous C^* -algebra and let $F(B) := K_0(A \otimes_{\min} B)$. Then by [Dăd94, Theorem 3.11], F factors through the asymptotic homotopy category of Connes-Higson [CH90]. In particular, for any extension of separable C^* -algebras, the inclusion of the kernel into the mapping cone of the quotient map induces an isomorphism on F . It follows that F is half-exact on separable C^* -algebras. The general case follows from Remark 3.8. $\square$

Consequently, Example 3.9 give examples of C^* -algebras which are *not* K -continuous.

²It is the mapping cone of the inclusion of I into the mapping cone of the quotient map $B \rightarrow D$.

4. THE MAXIMAL TENSOR PRODUCT

The maximal tensor product case is analogous, hence we shall be brief.

Theorem 4.1 (Künneth theorem for $\otimes_{\max}$). *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then the following conditions on A are equivalent.*

- (1) *For any C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B) = 0$ then $K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B) = 0$.*
- (2) *For any C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B)$ is free then the product map*

$$\alpha_{\max}: K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3) *For any C^* -algebra B , there is a (natural) short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\max}} K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Needless to say, for *nuclear* algebras, the Künneth theorems 3.1 and 4.1 are equivalent.

Remark 4.2 (Separable algebras). Let A be a C^* -algebra. The following conditions are equivalent to the (equivalent) conditions in Theorem 4.1.

- (1') *For any separable C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B) = 0$ then $K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B) = 0$.*
- (2') *For any separable C^* -algebra B , if $K_*(B)$ is free then the product map*

$$\alpha_{\max}: K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (3') *For any separable C^* -algebra B , there is a (natural) short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow K_*(A) \otimes K_*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\max}} K_*(A \otimes_{\max} B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(K_*(A), K_*(B)) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$ denote the class of C^* -algebras A satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2.

Lemma 4.4. *The class $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$ enjoys the following properties.*

- (1) *If $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$ and B is Morita dominated by A , then $B \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$. In particular, $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$ is stable under Morita equivalence.*
- (2) *In a short exact sequence of C^* -algebras, if two of the algebras are in $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$, then so is the third.*
- (3) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$, then $A \otimes_{\max} B \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$.*
- (4) *If $A = \lim A_i$ and all $A_i \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$, then $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\max}$.*

□

We remark that for any C^* -algebra A , the functor $B \mapsto K_0(A \otimes_{\max} B)$ is half-exact and continuous. Hence we cannot use the same techniques as in Section 3 to construct counterexamples to the Künneth theorem for $\otimes_{\max}$. However, see Example 5.3.

5. COUNTEREXAMPLES

The counterexamples exploit the difference between Lemma 3.4(2) and Lemma 4.4(2).

Example 5.1 ($\mathcal{N}_{\max} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\min} \neq \emptyset$; c.f. [Ska91], [HG04, Theorem 5.4]). Let C be the double-cone of an extension

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.1)$$

of C^* -algebras (see Remark 3.8). Then for any C^* -algebra A , the tensor product $C \otimes_{\max} A$ is the double-cone of the extension

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_{\max} A \rightarrow B \otimes_{\max} A \rightarrow D \otimes_{\max} A \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.2)$$

It follows that $K_*(C \otimes_{\max} A) = 0$ for all A and C belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$.

Let A be a non- K -exact algebra and let C be the double-cone of an extension for which $K_*(A \otimes_{\min} C) \neq 0$. Then C does *not* belong to $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_{\max} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\min} \neq \emptyset$.

Here is a concrete example: Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.3)$$

denote the extension of separable commutative Γ - C^* -algebras of [Oza03, Theorem A.1]. Let C denote the double-cone of (5.3). Then C is a separable commutative Γ - C^* -algebra and the full crossed product $C \rtimes \Gamma$ is the double cone of the extension

$$0 \rightarrow J \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow A \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow B \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.4)$$

(See Proposition 2.5). Hence $C \rtimes \Gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{\max} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\min}$.

The following observation is due to Skandalis [Ska88].

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Suppose that there is a C^* -algebra B such that the natural map*

$$\pi: A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B \quad (5.5)$$

does not induce isomorphism in K -theory. Then the following statements are true.

- (1) *The algebra A fails one of the Künneth theorems (3.1 or 4.1).*
- (2) *If A is separable, then A is not KK -equivalent to a nuclear algebra.*
- (3) *If A is separable and exact, then A is not E -equivalent to a nuclear algebra.*

Proof. Enough to note that we may assume that B is separable. See [Ska88, HG04]. $\square$

Example 5.3 ($\mathcal{N}_{\min} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\max} \neq \emptyset$; c.f. [CEOO04, Introduction]). Let Γ be an infinite countable discrete group with Kazhdan property (T) and Akemann-Ostrand property (AO) (cf. [AD09]). Then the natural map

$$C_\lambda^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\max} C_\lambda^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_\lambda^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\min} C_\lambda^*(\Gamma) \quad (5.6)$$

does not induce isomorphism in K -theory (Skandalis [Ska88]).

We specialise to the case Γ a lattice in $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$. Julg proved that Γ satisfies the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients [Jul02]. Then by [CEOO04, Corollary 0.2], we see that $C_\lambda^*(\Gamma)$ is in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$. Consequently, $C_\lambda^*(\Gamma)$ is *not* in $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$ by Lemma 5.2 and $\mathcal{N}_{\min} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\max} \neq \emptyset$.

Example 5.4. Let $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\min} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\max}$ and let $B \in \mathcal{N}_{\max} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\min}$. Then it follows from the 2-out-of-3 property that $A \oplus B$ is neither in $\mathcal{N}_{\max}$ nor in $\mathcal{N}_{\min}$.

Examples 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4 answer some of the questions raised by Blackadar in [Bla98, 23.13.2].

APPENDIX A. SKANDALIS' EXAMPLES

We briefly sketch Skandalis' arguments for the convenience of the reader. See [Ska88, Ska91, HG04] for details.

We refer to [AD09] for group theoretic terminologies in the following.

Theorem A.1 (Skandalis). *Let Γ be an infinite countable discrete group with property (T) and property (AO). Then the natural map*

$$C_\lambda^*\Gamma \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma \rightarrow C_\lambda^*\Gamma \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma \quad (\text{A.1})$$

does not induce isomorphism in K -theory. If in addition, Γ has property (F), then $C^*\Gamma$ is not K -exact.

See Example 3.9(1) and Example 5.3.

Sketch of Proof. We assume that Γ has (T), (AO) and (F). Then one can construct a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & I \otimes C^*\Gamma & & \\ & & \downarrow \text{min} & & \\ & & L & \xrightarrow{\quad 0 \quad} & \mathcal{K}(l^2\Gamma) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & I \otimes C^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & L \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{max} & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & C^*\Gamma \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & C^*\Gamma \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad (F) \quad} & \mathcal{B}(l^2\Gamma) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & C_\lambda^*\Gamma \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & C_\lambda^*\Gamma \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\quad (AO) \quad} & \mathcal{Q}(l^2\Gamma) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \lambda \times \rho & \searrow & \downarrow (AO) & \searrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{K}(l^2\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & \mathcal{B}(l^2\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & \mathcal{Q}(l^2\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\quad \neq 0 \quad} & \mathcal{Q}(l^2\Gamma) \end{array} \quad , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\bullet \xrightarrow{\quad \bullet \quad} \bullet$ denotes a quotient map and $\bullet \xrightarrow{\quad \bullet \quad} \bullet \xrightarrow{\quad \bullet \quad} \bullet$ denotes an extension. Here I is the kernel of $C^*\Gamma \rightarrow C_\lambda^*\Gamma$.

Let $q \in C^*\Gamma \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma$ denote the image of the Kazhdan projection under the diagonal map

$$\Delta: C^*\Gamma \rightarrow C^*\Gamma \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma, \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma \otimes \gamma. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Then the image of q in $C_\lambda^* \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma$ is zero, while the image in $B(l^2\Gamma)$ is non-zero. Hence q defines non-zero classes in $K_0(J)$ and $K_0(L)$. Moreover, the composition

$$I \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(l^2\Gamma) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

is zero, since the composition $I \otimes_{\max} C^*\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(l^2\Gamma)$ is zero.

It follows that the class in $K_0(L)$ cannot come from $K_0(I \otimes_{\min} C^*\Gamma)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AD09] Claire Anantharaman-Delaroche, *On tensor products of group C^* -algebras and related topics*, Limits of graphs in group theory and computer science, EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2009, pp. 1–35. MR 2562137 (2011a:46082)
- [Ati62] M. F. Atiyah, *Vector bundles and the Künneth formula*, Topology **1** (1962), 245–248. MR 0150780 (27 #767)
- [BCH94] Paul Baum, Alain Connes, and Nigel Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K -theory of group C^* -algebras*, C^* -algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993), Contemp. Math., vol. 167, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 240–291. MR 1292018 (96c:46070)
- [Bla98] Bruce Blackadar, *K -theory for operator algebras*, second ed., Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 1656031 (99g:46104)
- [BO08] Nathaniel P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR 2391387 (2009h:46101)
- [CEOO04] J. Chabert, S. Echterhoff, and H. Oyono-Oyono, *Going-down functors, the Künneth formula, and the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), no. 3, 491–528. MR 2100669 (2005h:19005)
- [CH90] Alain Connes and Nigel Higson, *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K -théorie bivariante*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **311** (1990), no. 2, 101–106. MR 1065438 (91m:46114)
- [CMR07] Joachim Cuntz, Ralf Meyer, and Jonathan M. Rosenberg, *Topological and bivariant K -theory*, Oberwolfach Seminars, vol. 36, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. MR 2340673 (2008j:19001)
- [Dăd94] Marius Dădărlat, *Shape theory and asymptotic morphisms for C^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 3, 687–711. MR 1262931 (95c:46117)
- [HG04] Nigel Higson and Erik Guentner, *Group C^* -algebras and K -theory*, Noncommutative geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1831, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 137–251. MR 2058474 (2005c:46103)
- [HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis, *Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354. MR 1911663 (2003g:19007)
- [Jul02] Pierre Julg, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes à coefficients pour le groupe $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$* , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334** (2002), no. 7, 533–538. MR 1903759 (2003d:19007)
- [MN06] Ralf Meyer and Ryszard Nest, *The Baum-Connes conjecture via localisation of categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 2, 209–259. MR 2193334 (2006k:19013)

- [Oza03] Narutaka Ozawa, *An application of expanders to $\mathbb{B}(l_2) \otimes \mathbb{B}(l_2)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **198** (2003), no. 2, 499–510. MR 1964549 (2004d:46065)
- [Ped99] Gert K. Pedersen, *Pullback and pushout constructions in C^* -algebra theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **167** (1999), no. 2, 243–344. MR 1716199 (2000j:46105)
- [Ros82] Jonathan Rosenberg, *The role of K -theory in noncommutative algebraic topology*, Operator algebras and K -theory (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), Contemp. Math., vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 155–182. MR 658514 (84h:46097)
- [RS87] Jonathan Rosenberg and Claude Schochet, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov’s generalized K -functor*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 2, 431–474. MR 894590 (88i:46091)
- [Sch82] Claude Schochet, *Topological methods for C^* -algebras. II. Geometric resolutions and the Künneth formula*, Pacific J. Math. **98** (1982), no. 2, 443–458. MR 650021 (84g:46105b)
- [Sch84] ———, *Topological methods for C^* -algebras. III. Axiomatic homology*, Pacific J. Math. **114** (1984), no. 2, 399–445. MR 757510 (86g:46102)
- [Ska88] Georges Skandalis, *Une notion de nucléarité en K -théorie (d’après J. Cuntz)*, K -Theory **1** (1988), no. 6, 549–573. MR 953916 (90b:46131)
- [Ska91] ———, *Le bifoncteur de Kasparov n’est pas exact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **313** (1991), no. 13, 939–941. MR 1143449 (93b:46136)
- [Tak02] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5. MR 1873025 (2002m:46083)
- [Tu99] Jean-Louis Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K -Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264. MR 1703305 (2000g:19004)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, SENGHENNYDD ROAD, CARDIFF, WALES, UK., CF24 4AG

E-mail address: Uuye0@cardiff.ac.uk